

## **REMARKS**

### **Rejections**

#### ***Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103***

##### **Claims 1-5, 7-35, 37-65 and 67-90**

Claims 1-5, 7-35, 37-65 and 67-90 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,751,623 to Basso et al (previously cited) in view of *Extensible MPEG-4 Textual Format (XMT)* to Kim et al. Applicant is submitting herewith a list of publications presented at the Proceedings of the ACM Multimedia 2000 Workshops, October 30 through November 3, 2000 (Exhibit A). Kim is listed on page 3 of Exhibit A. Thus, October 30, 2000 is the earliest publication date to which Kim is entitled. Applicant respectfully draws the Examiner's attention to the Related Applications section of the present application (paragraph 1), in which Applicant claims priority to U.S. Provisional application 60/242,378, filed on October 20, 2000. Because Kim was published after Applicant's effective filing date, Kim is not prior art.

Because the previous 35 U.S.C. § 102 rejection of claims 1-5, 7-35, 37-65 and 67-90 over Basso was withdrawn in response to Applicant's Appeal Brief, Basso alone cannot be properly interpreted as rendering obvious Applicant's invention as claimed in claims 1-5, 7-35, 37-65 and 67-90. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the withdrawal of the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

##### **Claims 6, 36, and 66**

Claims 6, 36 and 66 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Basso and Kim, in view of U.S. Patent 6,549,922 to Srivastava et al. and the W3C press release of November 16, 1999 (both previously cited).

Kim is not prior art. Because the previous 35 U.S.C. § 103 rejection of claims 6, 36 and 66 over the combination of Basso, Srivastava and the W3C press release was withdrawn in response to Applicant's Appeal Brief, the combination of Basso, Srivastava and the W3C press release cannot be properly interpreted as rendering obvious Applicant's invention as claimed in claims 6, 36 and 66. Accordingly, Applicant

respectfully requests the withdrawal of the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C.  
§ 103(a)

### **SUMMARY**

Claims 1-90 are currently pending. In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the application and allowance of the pending claims.

If the Examiner determines the prompt allowance of these claims could be facilitated by a telephone conference, the Examiner is invited to contact Sue Holloway at (408) 720-8300 x309.


### **Deposit Account Authorization**

Authorization is hereby given to charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any charges that may be due. Furthermore, if an extension is required, then Applicant hereby requests such extension.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR  
& ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: June 7, 2006



Sheryl S. Holloway  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 37,850

12400 Wilshire Boulevard  
Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026  
(408) 720-8300 x309